As part of the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA)-funded initiative Using Analytics to Improve Officer Safety, CNA’s Center for Justice Research and Innovation produced this bulletin as a companion document to an issue brief that provides an in-depth look into the use of predictive analytics in policing. Visit CNA’s Officer Safety and Wellness page to learn more about this initiative.

Predictive analytics in policing “is a data-driven approach to characterizing crime patterns across time and space and leveraging this knowledge for the prevention of crime and disorder.” Its use has evolved in the last several decades as a promising method to reduce and prevent crime. This bulletin provides information for law enforcement agencies and their stakeholders (e.g., crime analysts, policy makers, and researchers) interested in learning more about the role of predictive analytics in police operations.

The Philadelphia predictive policing experiment increased uniformed and, separately, unmarked patrol in three 500 by 500 feet high-crime grids for each of the 20 police districts that were identified based on risk-based computerized mapping. Results indicated a statistically significant 23 percent reduction in street violent crime incidents.

The Indio, California, Police Department developed a computer model that predicts, by census block group, where burglaries are likely to occur within the City of Indio. The model incorporated an RTM approach that included data on socioeconomic characteristics of the block group, probation data, data on school absences, truancy arrests, and historical truancy rates. The model showed a strong relationship between historical truancy rates and current burglary rates.

Through funding from the BJA Smart Policing Initiative (SPI) program, Cambridge, Everett, and Somerville, Massachusetts, collaborated on the Regional Analytics for the Safety of Our Residents (RASOR) effort. RASOR implemented person-based predictive policing methods as an innovative method of identifying individuals for intervention using focused deterrence. The social harm score was effective in identifying high-risk individuals, though the evaluation of the focused deterrence effort returned mixed results.
MACHINE LEARNING PROCESSES AND ITS BENEFITS

The goal of machine learning is “to develop methods that can automatically detect patterns in data, and then to use the uncovered patterns to predict future data or other outcomes of interest.” In the context of policing, past crime data are analyzed using a machine-learning algorithm to detect patterns and relationships that conventional predictive analytics cannot uncover. Then, the data pertaining to the uncovered patterns are further analyzed to improve predictions. Machine learning offers a number of helpful capabilities:

PATTERN IDENTIFICATION

Based on identified patterns and relationships, machine-learning algorithms continuously adapt and can discover additional patterns. In policing, identified patterns might inform an agency about the times of day when a particular neighborhood is more likely to experience a specific type of crime.

DATA COMPLEXITY

Because human behavior and neighborhoods, among other characteristics that influence crime, are dynamic, machine-learning algorithms can better examine complex criminological data than linear and more conventional techniques.

ACCURACY

Accuracy is critical for the field of policing, and machine learning has been argued to be more accurate than conventional predictive models. Researchers argue that machine-learning algorithms provide greater forecasting accuracy for more complex data, such as criminological data, than more conventional models.

CONSIDERATIONS AND LIMITATIONS OF PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS

GOALS AND FEASIBILITY

Agencies should consider the crime problem or intelligence gap they will address using predictive analytics. Certain predictive analytics techniques are more appropriate for particular problems.

- **Regression analysis** can be used to identify areas at increased risk of crime and identify variables that are positively associated with increased risk.
- **Hot spot detection** can be used to identify areas with increased concentration of crime.
- **Risk terrain modeling** can be used to identify areas at increased risk of crime based on environmental factors that increase vulnerability for specific locations.
- **Identifying prevention opportunities** can help focus resources on areas and individuals at increased risk of possibly being involved in criminal activity.

- **Machine-learning algorithms** can identify areas at increased risk of crime.

A law enforcement agency’s ability to conduct these analyses will depend heavily on its willingness, ability, and capacity to use predictive analytics properly or to adopt predictive analytics software. Being understaffed, lacking crime analysts, or having limited time for in-service training can further complicate the adoption of new analytical methods or software.

TECHNICAL SKILLSETS

The predictive analytics techniques described in this brief all require **technical skills**, such as statistical analysis skills, statistical programing language skills, and data management skills. These capabilities may be fulfilled by agency personnel (e.g., crime analyst) or by outside providers such as research partners or vendors. Different techniques also require increasingly sophisticated skills and advanced training.
BIAS
One of the primary criticisms of predictive analytics is that it can perpetuate historical biases when using biased data. Existing biases in resource allocation and police enforcement will inherently be reflected in any analysis based on those data. For example, if police have allocated more resources in one neighborhood and thus have made more arrests in that neighborhood, then analyses may unfairly predict that crime is more likely to occur in that neighborhood.

BLACK BOX OF MACHINE LEARNING
Many researchers and critics consider machine-learning algorithms to be “black boxes” because identifying the particular factors that led a machine-learning model to a final decision can be nearly impossible. This lack of transparency obscures how decisions are made and makes it difficult to challenge a decision. The black box nature of machine-learning algorithms has led to concerns about due process and the civil rights of individuals involved in the criminal justice system and other vulnerable populations. Addressing the lack of transparency, Babuta and colleagues (2018) recommend that machine-learning models should not be the sole source of decision-making; instead, agencies should use them in conjunction with officer discretion.

PRIVATIZED SOFTWARE
Because law enforcement agencies are sometimes the clients, they are not fully aware of how the software provider uses predictive analytics or how certain algorithms make decisions. When working with private companies, agencies have to sign contracts that may include nondisclosure agreements. As a result, agencies are unable to share crucial information with the public, which decreases transparency and perpetuates the idea of the black box.

AS THE FIELD OF POLICING HAS EVOLVED, SO HAVE THE CAPABILITIES AND COMPLEXITIES OF PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS.
Machine learning is emerging within the field as a superior option for forecasting crime and other phenomena. The use of predictive analytics has empowered agencies to take more proactive approaches to crime reduction, rather than conventional reactive approaches, such as rapid responses to 9-1-1 calls. Researchers argue that machine learning is better suited for the complex nature of criminological data and that machine learning’s ability to incorporate costs (i.e., consequences) improves the accuracy of prediction. However, the decision to allocate resources (e.g., staff, money) toward predictive analytics strategies and software should be well informed. The proper use of predictive analytics to reduce and prevent crime requires careful planning and training.
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