Population Representation in the Military Services

Fiscal Year 2000

February 2002

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the 27th annual Department of Defense (DoD) report on social representation in the U.S. Military Services. The nine chapters and accompanying technical appendices provide data and comments on demographic, educational, and aptitude characteristics of applicants, new recruits, and enlisted and officer members of the Active and Reserve Components. This report covers fiscal year (FY) 2000, from October 1, 1999, to September 30, 2000. The report is available on the worldwide web at http://dticaw.dtic.mil/prhome/poprep2000/.

The FY 2000 end-strength of the Active Component was slightly less than 1.4 million and the Selected Reserve (comprising the Army National Guard, Army Reserve, Naval Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, Air National Guard, and Air Force Reserve) totaled more than 865,000. Additionally, there were more than 373,000 people in the Individual Ready Reserve/Inactive National Guard. Today's force is much smaller than in the early volunteer force years. To sustain this reduced strength, in FY 2000 approximately 179,000 non-prior service (NPS) recruits were enlisted and nearly 10,000 prior service recruits were returned to the ranks. Almost 18,000 newly commissioned officers reported for active duty. Furthermore, about 71,000 recruits without and more than 88,000 with prior military experience were enlisted in the Selected Reserve. In excess of 15,000 commissioned officers entered the National Guard or Reserves this past fiscal year as well. The salient characteristics of these personnel are described in this summary.

FY 2000 Highlights

Age. The active duty military comprises a younger workforce than the civilian sector. Service policies and legal restrictions account for the relative youthfulness of the military. Eighty-seven percent of FY 2000 new active duty recruits were 18 through 24 years of age (mean age 19), compared to 36 percent of civilians within the military age range of 17-35. Almost half (47 percent) of the active duty enlisted force was 17-24 years old, in contrast to about 15 percent of the civilian labor force. Officers were older than those in the enlisted ranks (mean age 30 and 28, respectively), but they too were younger than their civilian counterparts (mean age 36), college graduates in the workforce 21-49 years old.

The data for enlisted personnel in the Selected Reserve similarly showed a more youthful composition than that of the civilian labor force. Among enlisted Reserve Component members, 62 percent of NPS accessions were between the ages of 17 and 19, but only 17 percent of civilians within the 17-35 year age range fell within this age subgroup. Of course, prior service Reserve Component enlisted accessions were older than those without prior service, but still younger than the civilian workforce (e.g., 60 percent versus 46 percent were under 30 years of age).

Race/Ethnicity. In FY 2000, African Americans were equitably represented in the military overall. In the enlisted force, African Americans were overrepresented among NPS active duty accessions (20 percent) relative to the 18-24 year-old civilian population (14 percent). Hispanics, on the other hand, continued to be underrepresented, with 11 percent among NPS accessions compared with 15 percent for comparable civilians. FY 2000 representation of "Other" minority enlisted accessions (Native Americans, Asians, and Pacific Islanders) stood at

approximately 6 percent, slightly more than in the civilian population (5 percent). Not only did African Americans enlist in high proportions, but higher retention rates boosted their representation among Active Component enlisted members to 22 percent in contrast to the 12 percent of African Americans among 18-44 year-old civilians in the workforce. With close to 9 percent of active duty enlisted members counted as Hispanic, this ethnic minority maintained its low proportion relative to the comparable civilian population (13 percent).

Over the years African Americans have been overrepresented, whereas Hispanics and "Other" minorities have been underrepresented. However, the proportion of active duty accessions with Hispanic and "Other" backgrounds has increased during the past 10 years. The Navy and Marine Corps have generally recruited greater proportions of Hispanics than the Army and Air Force. The Marine Corps has retained more Hispanics, as evidenced by larger percentages of Hispanic Marines in the enlisted force during the past 10 years.

Minorities appear to be proportionately represented and not on the decline within the commissioned officer corps. Although African Americans comprised a much smaller proportion of officers (8 percent) than of enlistees (22 percent), when compared to college graduates in the civilian workforce 21-49 years old (8 percent African American), African Americans are equitably represented in the officer ranks. Hispanic officers, at 4 percent, are comparable to the civilian comparison group (5 percent Hispanic). Those of "Other" minority subgroups are underrepresented, with 4 percent of the officer corps and 8 percent of 21-49 year-old employed, college graduates.

Warrant officers account for 8 percent of active duty officer accessions and 7 percent of the officer corps. The Air Force does not have warrant officers. Warrant officers on active duty have greater representation of African Americans and Hispanics than among commissioned officers (16 and 5 percent warrant officers versus 8 and 4 percent commissioned officers, respectively). Minority warrant officers, particularly Hispanics, are underrepresented in comparison with the civilian labor force.

Racial/ethnic findings for the Reserve Component were similar. African Americans were overrepresented, Hispanics were underrepresented, and "Others" were slightly overrepresented at population benchmark levels among NPS and prior service Selected Reserve accessions. As with the Active Component, the proportions of minorities among Selected Reserve officers were smaller than for enlisted personnel, but the percentages were not out of line with appropriate civilian college graduate minority percentages.

Warrant officers account for 6 percent of Selected Reserve officer accessions and 9 percent of the officer corps. The Air National Guard and the Air Force Reserve do not have warrant officers. There are fewer minorities in the National Guard and Reserve warrant ranks as compared to commissioned officers. As with the Active Component, minority warrant officers in the Selected Reserve are underrepresented compared with the civilian labor force.

Gender. Women comprised nearly 19 percent of NPS active duty accessions and 25 percent of NPS accessions to the Selected Reserve (17 percent of the numerically larger prior service segment of Reserve Component accessions were women) compared to 51 percent of 18-to 24- year-old civilians. Among enlisted members on active duty, 15 percent were women.

Among comparable Selected Reservists, 16 percent were women. Service Reserve units have greater representation of women (21 percent) than the National Guard (13 percent) components (Army and Air Force only). This is generally due to the Army National Guard's heavier combat arms mix which precludes women from many of the positions in those units. The representation of women among active duty officer accessions and within the officer corps was 20 and 15 percent, respectively. Similar percentages were seen among Selected Reserve officers (18 percent for each).

Military women, across the enlisted force and officer corps in both the Active and Reserve Components, are more likely to be members of a racial/ethnic minority group than are military men. In fact, half of the women in the Active Component enlisted force are members of minority groups.

Although women constitute a smaller proportion of the Total Force than men, their representation has grown greatly since the inception of the All Volunteer Force. In FY 1994, when the direct ground combat rule replaced the risk rule, new jobs were opened to women. Since the introduction of that policy, nearly all career fields (92 percent) have been opened to women. Accordingly, the percentage of Active Component women has increased by nearly 3 percentage points, roughly half a percentage point increase each year, since the implementation of the risk rule.

Marital Status. In addition to the growing presence of women in the military, marriage among Servicemembers has also been on the rise. During the last 25 years, the enlisted force has moved from a predominantly single male establishment to one with a greater emphasis on family. In FY 1975, approximately 45 percent of enlisted members were married. Today, half of all soldiers, sailors, marines, and airmen are married. Newcomers to the military are less likely than their civilian age counterparts to be married. Similarly, military members are less likely to be married than those in the civilian sector; however, the difference is less pronounced than it is with accessions. Among enlisted members, 50 percent of those on active duty and 49 percent in the Reserve Component were married as of the end of FY 2000. In the military, men were more likely to be married than women.

As one might expect, owing to their being older and financially more secure on average, officers were more likely to be married (70 percent of the Active Component and 74 percent of the Reserve Component officer corps were married) than enlisted personnel. Again, women officers were less likely than their male colleagues to be married.

Education Level. The Military Services value and support the education of their members. The emphasis on education was evident in the data for FY 2000. Practically all active duty and Selected Reserve enlisted accessions had a high school diploma or equivalent, well above civilian youth proportions (79 percent of 18-24 year-olds). More important, excluding accessions enlisting in the Army or Army Reserve under the GED+ program (an experimental program of individuals with a GED or no credential who have met special screening criteria for enlisting), 93 percent of NPS active duty and 90 percent of NPS Selected Reserve enlisted recruits were high school diploma graduates.

Given that most officers are required to possess at least a baccalaureate college degree upon or soon after commissioning and that colleges and universities are among the Services' main commissioning sources (i.e., Service academies and ROTC), the academic standing of officers is not surprising. The fact that 96 percent of active duty officer accessions and 97 percent of the officer corps (both excluding those with unknown education credentials) were degree holders (approximately 16 and 44 percent advanced degrees) is in keeping with policy and the professional status and expectations of officers. Likewise, 86 percent of Reserve Component officer accessions and 88 percent of the total Reserve Component officer corps held at least a bachelor's degree, with 24 and 30 percent possessing advanced degrees, respectively.

Armed Forces Qualification Test (AFQT) Scores. Enlisted members tend to have higher cognitive aptitude than the civilian youth population, as measured by scores on the military's enlistment test. Persons who score in Categories I and II (65th to 99th percentiles) tend to be above average in trainability; those in Category III (31st to 64th percentiles), average; those in Category IV (10th to 30th percentiles), below average; and those in Category V (1st to 9th percentiles), markedly below average. The percentage of recruits in Categories I to II (32 percent) was slightly lower than for their civilian counterparts (35 percent). Category III accessions (68 percent) greatly exceeded—in fact, were double the proportion of—the civilian group (34 percent), while the percentage of recruits in Category IV (1 percent) was much lower than in the civilian population (21 percent). No enlistees were in Category V, whereas 10 percent of the civilian population scored in this category.

Test score data were not reported for officers because of test variation by Service and commissioning source. Tough entry requirements (e.g., SAT scores) for the commissioning programs as well as the college degree hurdle ensure quality among officers.

High-Quality Recruits. To predict recruit quality in areas such as persistence, training outcome, and job performance in the enlisted ranks, the Services use level of education and AFQT scores. Because high school diploma graduates are more likely to complete their contracted enlistment terms and higher AFQT-scoring recruits perform better in training and on the job, the Services strive to enlist AFQT Category I-IIIA (50th percentile and above on the AFQT) high school diploma graduates.

The recent drawdown led the Services to redesign jobs so that incumbents assume more diverse workloads and greater responsibilities. Incumbents must perform more tasks and tasks of greater complexity. The Services need more personnel of high-quality levels to meet these job demands. In FY 2000, the proportion of NPS high-quality recruits ranged from 53 percent in the Navy to 70 percent in the Air Force.

Reading Ability. Like aptitude levels, reading levels were higher in the enlisted military than in the non-military sector. FY 2000 NPS active duty enlisted accessions had a mean reading level typical of an 11th grade student whereas the mean for civilian youth was within the 10th grade range.

Geographic Representation. During the last 15 years, the percentage of recruits from the Northeast and North Central regions has decreased with a corresponding increase in the percentage of recruits from the South and West regions. The geographic distribution of enlisted

active accessions for FY 2000 shows that the South, and in particular the South Atlantic and West South Central Divisions of this region, continued to have the greatest representation. More than two-fifths of NPS accessions hailed from the South. In fact, this was the only region to be slightly overrepresented among enlisted accessions compared to its proportion of 18-24 year-olds. The representation ratio (percentage of accessions divided by percentage of 18-24 year-olds from the region) for NPS active accessions from the South was 1.2, compared to 0.8 for the Northeast and North Central and 1.0 for the West.

Representation in Occupations. The Services need a steady supply of combat and combat support personnel; they rely heavily on mechanics and infantrymen and guncrew specialists. In addition, the Services require technicians, health care specialists, and other support personnel. Assignment to and training in one of the military's many occupational specialties, which carry varying cognitive and noncognitive demands, is part of the enlistment or commissioning package. Less than one-third (29 percent) of FY 2000 active duty enlisted personnel were in occupations such as infantry, craftsmen, and service and supply handling. A plurality of enlisted members (43 percent) served in mid-level skill jobs in medical and dental, functional support and administration, and electrical/mechanical equipment repair. The remainder were in high-skill areas (22 percent), including electronic equipment repair, communications and intelligence, and other allied specialties, or in non-occupational categories (7 percent).

During the last two decades, assignment patterns for women have shifted to increase their presence in "non-traditional" jobs. Previously, most enlisted women were in either functional support and administration or medical and dental jobs. By FY 2000, smaller proportions (33 and 15 percent, respectively) served in these jobs. Women were more than two and a half times more likely than men to serve in the "traditional" female occupations, functional support and administration and medical/dental specialties. Women are excluded from infantry and other assignments in which the primary mission is to physically engage the enemy. However, the direct ground combat rule allows women to serve on aircraft and ships engaged in combat. The proportion of women serving in such operational positions (i.e., gun crews and seamanship specialties) in FY 2000 was 5 percent. In contrast, the percentage of men in these occupations was approximately 19 percent.

In FY 2000, the proportions of African Americans and Whites were similar in four of the nine occupational areas (communications and intelligence, medical and dental, other allied specialists, and craftsmen). In three areas (infantry, electronic equipment repair, and electrical/mechanical equipment repair) the proportions of Whites were higher. African Americans were still more heavily represented in the functional support and administration and the service and supply areas.

The most common occupational area for active duty officers was tactical operations (e.g., fighter pilots, combat commanders; 37 percent) with health care a distant second (18 percent). Assignment patterns differed between men and women. Greater percentages of men were in tactical operations (42 percent), whereas greater percentages of women were in health care (43 percent) and administration (12 percent). In FY 2000, racial and ethnic groups of officers generally had similar assignment patterns across occupational areas although there was a lower percentage of African Americans in tactical operations, a lower percentage of Hispanics in health

care, a greater percentage of African Americans and Hispanics in administration and supply areas, and a greater percentage of Other minorities in health care.

The occupational distributions among Active and Reserve Components varied somewhat. In FY 2000, 10 percent of the enlisted Active Component were in electronic equipment repair occupations in contrast to 5 percent of enlisted Selected Reserves. The Reserve Component is somewhat "lighter" in technical occupational areas such as electronic and electrical/mechanical equipment repair, and communications and intelligence, and somewhat "heavier" in functional support and administration, craftsmen, and supply. There were also some occupational differences between Active and Reserve officers; the Reserve Component had slightly smaller proportions in tactical operations but slightly larger proportions in health care and supply. However, differences were greater between Services than between Active and Reserve members.

U.S. Coast Guard. The Coast Guard is the smallest of the Armed Forces. It is a part of the Department of Transportation during peacetime, but during times of war it becomes a part of the Department of Defense. Compared to the other Services, the Coast Guard is very similar on demographic variables, with slightly greater proportions of males and Whites.

Recent Deployments. The members of the Armed Services participated in numerous missions in FY 2000. In support of U.S. operations in Bosnia, Kosovo, and the Persian Gulf in FY 2000, approximately 67,000 active duty members and 3,500 Reservists were deployed to these regions. In addition, many Reservists were called to serve as backfill for Active Component personnel in other locations.

Conclusions

The FY 2000 *Population Representation* report shows both the diversity and the quality of the Total Force. Men and women of various racial and ethnic groups of divergent backgrounds, from every state in our country, serve as Active and Selected Reserve enlisted members and officers of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and Coast Guard. The mean cognitive ability and educational levels of these soldiers, sailors, marines, and airmen are above the average of comparably aged U.S. citizens.

Although the force is diverse, it is not an exact replica of society as a whole. The military way of life is more attractive to some members of society than to others. Among the enlisted ranks, the proportion of African Americans continues to exceed comparably aged population counts. Hispanics are underrepresented in the military, but their percentages have risen over the years. Minorities comprise proportionally less of the officer corps; however, their representation levels are in keeping with minority statistics among the pool of college graduates from which second lieutenants and ensigns are drawn. Women continue to be underrepresented in the military, compared to their proportion in civilian society. However, accession statistics show that women continue to gain in both numerical and proportional strength.

The All Volunteer Force is now facing increased recruiting goals with greater competition from colleges, universities, and private employers (compared to the early 1990s). Population representation can be affected by such external events. Thus, there is a continuing need to track demographic changes and potential upheaval in the balance of military benefits and

burdens that befall population segments of society. Attention to human resource issues beyond numerical representation is also necessary to manage recruiting and to promote readiness.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	Fiscal Year 2000: Equal Opportunities	
	The Youth Population	
	Data Sources	
2	ACTIVE COMPONENT ENLISTED APPLICANTS AND ACCESSION	S2-1
	The Recruiting Process	2-2
	The Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery	2-2
	Educational Credentials	2-3
	Physical Examinations	2-4
	Moral Character Standards	2-5
	Occupational Area Counseling	2-5
	The Delayed Entry Program (DEP)	
	Characteristics of Active Component Non-Prior Service Applicants	
	Characteristics of Active Component Accessions	
	Age	
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Gender	
	Marital Status	
	Education	
	AFQT	
	High Quality	
	Reading Ability	
	Geography	
3	ACTIVE COMPONENT ENLISTED FORCE	
	Characteristics of Active Component Enlisted Force	3-1
	Age	
	Race/Ethnicity	3-3
	Gender	3-6
	Marital Status	3-8
	Education	3-11
	Representation Within Occupations	3-12
	Pay Grade	3-14
4	ACTIVE COMPONENT OFFICERS	
	Characteristics of Active Component Officers	
	Pay Grade	
	Source of Commission	4-3

	Pag
	Age4-6
	Race/Ethnicity4-8
	Gender4-12
	Marital Status4-13
	Education4-14
	Representation Within Occupations4-15
	Warrant Officers4-17
5	SELECTED RESERVE ENLISTED ACCESSIONS AND
	ENLISTED FORCE5-1
	The Selected Reserve Recruiting Process5-2
	Characteristics of Selected Reserve Accessions
	Age5-5
	Race/Ethnicity5-5
	Gender5-6
	Marital Status5-7
	Education5-8
	AFQT5-9
	Characteristics of the Selected Reserve Enlisted Force5-9
	Age5-10
	Race/Ethnicity5-10
	Gender5-11
	Marital Status5-11
	Education5-12
	Representation Within Occupations5-13
6	SELECTED RESERVE OFFICER ACCESSIONS AND
U	OFFICER CORPS6-1
	Characteristics of Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and
	Officer Corps
	Age
	Race/Ethnicity 6-2
	Gender 6-3
	Marital Status
	Source of Commission 6-4
	Education6-6
	Representation Within Occupations 6-7
	1. Nopieschiauon wimin Occupanons

		Page
7	U. S. COAST GUARD	
	Characteristics of Active Component Non-Prior Service Applicants	
	Characteristics of Active Component Non-Prior Service Accessions	
	Age	
	Education	
	AFQT	
	Characteristics of Active Component Enlisted Force	
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Age	
	Education	
	Representation Within Occupations	
	Characteristics of Active Component Officers	
	Source of Commission	7-7
	Educational Attainment	7-7
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	7-8
	Representation Within Occupations	7-8
	Warrant Officers	7-8
	Characteristics of USCG Reserve Enlisted Accessions	7-9
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	7-10
	Educational Attainment	7-10
	Characteristics of Reserve Component Enlisted Force	7-11
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	
	Age	
	Educational Attainment	
	Representation Within Occupations	
	Characteristics of Reserve Component Officers	
	Source of Commission	
	Educational Attainment	
	Reserve Component Warrant Officers	
	Closing	
	Closing	7 13
8	REPRESENTATION IN FISCAL YEAR 2000 DEPLOYMENTS	
	Characteristics of Deployed Active Component Personnel	
	Gender	8-2
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Occupational Representation	8-3
	Pay Grade	8-6
	Characteristics of Deployed Reserve Component Personnel	
	Gender	
	Race/Ethnicity	
	Occupational Representation	

		Page
9	ENTERING THE 21 st CENTURY	9-1
	Fiscal Year 2000: An Historical Perspective	
	Quality-of-Life Initiatives in the Military	
	Representation in FY 2000	
	Appendix A - Active Component Applicant Tables	A-1
	Appendix B - Active Component Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables	B-1
	Appendix C - Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted	-
	Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables	
	Appendix D - Longitudinal Data Tables	D- 1
	Appendix E - Coast Guard Active Component Applicant, and Active Component and Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions,	
	Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables	E-1
	Appendix F - Deployment Data Tables	F-1

List of Tables

Table		Page
2.1	Armed Forces Qualification Test (AFQT) Categories and	
	Corresponding Percentile Score Ranges	2-3
2.2	Race/Ethnicity and Gender of FY 2000 Active Component	
	NPS Applicants, by Service	2-6
2.3	FY 2000 Active Component Non-Prior Service (NPS) and Prior	
	Service Enlisted Accessions	2-7
2.4	Age of FY 2000 Active Component NPS Accessions, by Service,	
	and Civilians 17–35 Years Old	2-9
2.5	Race/Ethnicity and Gender of FY 2000 Active Component NPS	
	Accessions, by Service, and Civilians 18–24 Years Old	2-10
2.6	FY 2000 Active Component NPS Accessions Who Are Married,	
	by Gender and Service, and Civilians 18–24 Years Old	2-14
2.7	Levels of Education of FY 2000 Active Component NPS Accessions,	
	by Service, and Civilians 18–24 Years Old	2-16
2.8	AFQT Scores of FY 2000 Active Component NPS Accessions, by	
	Gender and Service	2-21
2.9	Mean Reading Grade Level of FY 1984–2000 Active Component NPS	
	Accessions, by Service, and 1980 Civilians 18–23 Years Old	2-23
2.10	Selected Statistics for FY 2000 NPS Accessions by Region, Division,	
	and State, and Civilians 18–24 Years Old	2-25
3.1	FY 2000 Pay Grade of Active Component Enlisted Members,	
	by Service	3-3
3.2	FY 2000 Age of Active Component Enlisted Members, by Service,	
	and Civilian Labor Force 17 and Older	3-4
3.3	FY 2000 Race/Ethnicity of Active Component Enlisted Members,	
	by Service, and Civilian Labor Force 18–44 Years Old	3-4
3.4	FY 2000 Gender of Active Component Enlisted Members, by Service,	
	and Civilian Labor Force 18–44 Years Old	3-8
3.5	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members Who Were Married, by	
	Gender and Service, and Civilian Labor Force 18–44 Years Old	3-9
3.6	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Personnel Who Were Married, and in	
	Dual-Service Marriages, by Gender and Service	3-10
3.7	FY 2000 Education of Active Component Enlisted Members, by Service,	
	and Civilian Labor Force 18–44 Years Old	3-11
3.8	FY 2000 Occupational Areas of Active Component Enlisted Personnel by	
	Gender	3-12
3.9	FY 2000 Occupational Areas of Active Component Enlisted Personnel	
	by Race/Ethnicity	3-14

Table		Page
3.10	FY 2000 Pay Grade of Active Component Enlisted Members,	2.15
0.11	by Race/Ethnicity	3-15
3.11	FY 2000 Pay Grade of Active Component Enlisted Personnel,	2.16
	by Gender	3-16
4.1	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	4-3
4.2	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Corps, by Rank/Pay Grade and Service	4-4
4.3	FY 2000 Source of Commission of Active Component Officer Accessions	
	and Officer Corps, by Service	4-5
4.4	FY 2000 Mean Age of Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer	
	Corps in Comparison to Enlisted Personnel	4-6
4.5	FY 2000 Active Component Minority Officer Accessions and Officer	
	Corps, by Service	4-9
4.6	FY 2000 Source of Commission of Active Component Officer Accessions,	
	by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	4-10
4.7	FY 2000 Source of Commission of Active Component Officer Corps,	
	by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	4-10
4.8	FY 2000 Pay Grade of Active Component Officers, by Service and	
4.0	Race/Ethnicity	
4.9	FY 2000 Active Component Female Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	4-12
4.10	FY 2000 Pay Grade of Active Component Officers, by Service	4 12
1 1 1	and Gender	4-13
4.11	FY 2000 Married Active Component Officer Corps and Enlisted Personnel, by Gender	4 12
4.12	FY 2000 Active Component Officers Who Were Married, and in	4-13
4.12	Dual-Service Marriages, by Gender and Service	1 11
4.13	FY 2000 Educational Attainment of Active Component Officer Accessions	4-14
4.13	and Officer Corps, by Service	<i>1</i> _15
4.14	FY 2000 Occupational Areas of Active Component Officer Corps,	4-13
7.17	by Gender	4-16
4.15	FY 2000 Occupational Areas of Active Component Officer Corps,	+ 10
1.15	by Race/Ethnicity	4-17
4.16	FY 2000 Active Component Warrant Officer Accessions and Officer	1 1/
	Corps, by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Service	4-18
	1 / 3	

Table		Page
5.1	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service (NPS) and Prior	
	Service Enlisted Accessions and End-Strengths	5-4
5.2	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service Enlisted Accessions,	
	by Age and Component, and Civilian Labor Force 17-35 Years Old	5-5
5.3	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service and Prior Service	
	Enlisted Accessions, by Race/Ethnicity, and Civilians	5-6
5.4	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service and Prior Service	
	Accessions, by Gender	5-7
5.5	FY 2000 Married Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service and Prior Service	
	Enlisted Accessions and Active Component Non-Prior Service	
	Enlisted Accessions and Enlisted Members, by Gender, and Civilians	5-7
5.6	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service Enlisted Accessions,	
	by Education Tier and Component, and Civilians 18–24 Years Old	5-8
5.7	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Non-Prior Service Enlisted Accessions,	
	by AFQT Category, Gender, and Component	5-9
5.8	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members, by Age and Component,	
	and Civilian Labor Force Over 16 Years Old	5-11
5.9	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members, by Race/Ethnicity, Gender,	
	and Component, and Civilian Labor Force 18–49 Years Old	5-12
5.10	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members, by Gender and Component,	
	and Civilian Labor Force 18–49 Years Old	5-12
5.11	FY 2000 Married Selected Reserve Enlisted Members, by Gender,	
	and Civilian Labor Force 18–49 Years Old	5-13
5.12	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members, by Education Levels	
	and Component, and Civilian Labor Force 18–49 Years Old	
5.13	Comparison of FY 2000 Reserve and Active Enlisted Occupational Areas	5-14
5.14	Comparison of FY 2000 Occupational Area Distribution of Enlisted	
	Members, by Active and Reserve Components	5-14
5.15	FY 2000 Occupational Areas of Selected Reserve Enlisted Personnel	
	within Race/Ethnicity	
5.16	FY 2000 Occupational Areas of Selected Reserve Enlisted Personnel,	
	by Gender	5-16
6.1	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
	End-Strength	6-2
6.2	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps,	
	by Race/Ethnicity	6-4
6.3	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Female Officer Accessions and	
	Officer Corps	6-4

Table		Page
6.4	FY 2000 Married Selected Reserve Officers and Enlisted Members,	6.5
6.5	by Gender, and Civilians	
6.6	FY 2000 Educational Attainment of Selected Reserve Officer FY 2000 Educational Attainment of Selected Reserve Officer	0-3
0.0	Accessions and Officer Corps	6.6
6.7	FY 2000 Occupational Areas of Active and Selected Reserve	0-0
0.7	Officer Corps	6-7
6.8	Comparison of FY 2000 Occupational Area Distribution of	0-7
0.0	Officers, by Active and Reserve Component	6-8
6.9	FY 2000 Occupational Areas of Selected Reserve Officer Corps,	
0.7	by Gender	6-9
6.10	FY 2000 Occupational Areas of Selected Reserve Officer Corps,	
0.10	by Race/Ethnicity	6-9
	by Ruco Etimoty	
7.1	Race/Ethnicity by Gender of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Active Component	7.2
7.2	NPS Applicants and Accessions, and Civilians 18-24 Years Old	1-2
7.2	Education Levels and AFQT Categories of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Active	7.2
7.3	Component NPS Accessions and Civilians 18-24 Years Old	1-3
1.3	Race/Ethnicity by Gender of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Active Component Enlisted Members and Civilians 18-24 Years Old	7.4
7.4		/-4
7.4	Age and Education Level of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Active Component	7.5
75	Enlisted Members and Civilians	1-3
7.5	Occupational Areas of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Active Component	7.6
7.6	Enlisted Personnel by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	/-0
7.6	FY 2000 USCG and DoD Active Component Officer Accessions and	77
7.7	Officer Corps by Source of Commission and Educational Attainment	/-/
1.1	Race/Ethnicity and Gender of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Active	7.0
7.0	Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	/-8
7.8	Occupational Areas of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Active Component	7.0
7.0	Officer Personnel by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	/-9
7.9	FY 2000 USCG and DoD Active Component Warrant Officer Accessions	7.0
7.10	and Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	/-9
7.10	Race/Ethnicity by Gender of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Reserve Component	7 10
7 11	Enlisted Accessions and Civilians	/-10
7.11	Educational Level of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Reserve Component	7 11
7.10	Accessions and Civilians	/-11
7.12	Race/Ethnicity by Gender of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Reserve Component Enlisted Members and Civilian Labor Force 18-49 Years Old	7 10
	Emisted Members and Civinan Labor Force 18-49 Years Old	/-12

Table	I	Page
7.13	Age and Education Level of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Reserve Component Enlisted Members and Civilians	7-12
7.14	Occupational Areas of FY 2000 USCG and DoD Reserve Component	
7.15	Enlisted Personnel by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	/-13
7.13	Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	7-14
7.16	FY 2000 USCG and DoD Reserve Component Officer Accessions and	, 11
	Officer Corps by Source of Commission and Educational Attainment	7-14
7.17	FY 2000 USCG and DoD Reserve Component Warrant Officer Accessions	
	and Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	7-15
8.1	Average Active Component Deployments, End Strength, and Recorded	
	Deployments to Kosovo, Bosnia, and the Persian Gulf by Service	8-2
8.2	Gender of FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Enlisted Personnel and	0.0
0.2	Commissioned Officers by Location Compared to Total Force	.8-3
8.3	Race/Ethnicity of FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Enlisted Personnel and	0 1
8.4	Commissioned Officers by Location Compared to Total Force	. 0-4
0.4	Enlisted Members Compared to the Total Force	8-5
8.5	Occupational Representation of FY 2000 Deployed Active Component	.03
0.0	Officers Compared to the Total Force	.8-6
8.6	Pay Grade of FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Enlisted Members	
	Compared to the Total Force	. 8-7
8.7	Pay Grade of FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Officers Compared	
	to the Total Force	. 8-8
8.8	Gender of FY 2000 Deployed Reserve Component Enlisted Personnel and	
0.0	Commissioned Officers Compared to the Total Force	. 8-9
8.9	Race/Ethnicity of FY 2000 Deployed Reserve Component Enlisted Personnel	0.0
9 10	and Commissioned Officers Compared to the Total Force	. 8-9
8.10	Occupational Representation of FY 2000 Deployed Reserve Component Enlisted Members Compared to the Total Force	8 _10
8.11	Occupational Representation of FY 2000 Deployed Reserve Component	0-10
0.11	Officers Compared to the Total Force	8-11

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1.1	The population of 18-year-old males and Service non-prior service	
	(NPS) recruiting requirements for fiscal years 1950–2010 (projected)	1-3
2.1	Number of accessions and applicants with ratio of accessions to	2.0
2.2	applicants, FYs 1976–2000	
2.3	Women as a percentage of Active Component NPS accessions,	
2.4	FYs 1973–2000	
2.5	FYs 1976–2000	
2.6	FYs 1973–2000	2-17
	by gender and race/ethnicity	2-18
2.7	Percentage of NPS accessions in AFQT categories I–IIIA, FYs 1973–2000	
2.8	Percentage of NPS accessions in AFQT categories I–IV, FYs 1973–2000	
2.9	Percentage of high-quality NPS accessions, FYs 1973–2000	
2.10	NPS accessions by geographic region, FYs 1973–2000	
3.1	Active Component enlisted force end-strength, by Service, FYs 1973–2000	3-1
3.2	Active Component enlisted force average age and months in service, FYs 1973–2000	
3.3	Blacks as a percentage of Active Component enlisted members, by Service, FYs 1973–2000	
3.4	Hispanics as a percentage of Active Component enlisted members, by	
3.5	Service, with the civilian labor force, FYs 1977-2000 Women as a percentage of Active Component enlisted members, by	
3.6	Service, FYs 1973–2000	
	Service, FYs 1973–2000	3-9
4.1	Active Component officer end-strength, by Service, FYs 1973–2000	
4.2	Active Component officer accessions, by Service, FYs 1973–2000	
4.3	Age of FY 2000 Active Component officer accessions, by Service	
4.4	Age of FY 2000 Active Component officer corps, by Service	4-7
4.5	Active Component officers' mean years of age and months of service, FYs 1973–2000	4-8

List of Figures

Figure		Page
5.1	FY 2000 composition of the Selected Reserve within the Ready Reserve	5-1
5.2	Reserve Component enlisted end-strength, FYs 1974–2000	
6.1	Reserve Component officer corps end-strength, FYs 1974–2000	6-1
6.2	Percent of Selected Reserve officer corps by age group, FY 2000	6-3

Appendix A - Active Component Applicant Tables

Table		Page
A-1	FY 2000 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Age, Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	Λ 1
A-2	FY 2000 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Age,	
A-2	Marital Status, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	۸.2
A-3	FY 2000 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Race/Ethnicity,	
A-3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Λ 1
A-4	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
A-4	and Service	۸ 5
۸ 5	FY 2000 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by AFQT	A-3
A-5	• •	۸ ۵
A-6	Category, Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	A-0
A-0	FY 2000 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by AFQT	Λ Ο
۸ 7	Category, Service, and Race/Ethnicity FY 2000 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Education,	A-8
A-7		A 10
A O	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	A-10
A-8	FY 2000 Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by Education,	A 11
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian Comparison Group	A-11
	Appendix B - Active Component Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables	
D 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
B-1	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Age, Service,	D 1
D 2	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	В-1
B-2	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Age, Marital	D 2
D 2	Status, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B- 2
B-3	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity,	D 4
D 4	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	В-4
B-4	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Ethnicity	D 5
D 5	and Service	В-Э
B-5	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT	D (
D (Category, Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	В-0
B-6	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT Category,	D O
D 7	Service, and Race/Ethnicity.	В-8
B-7	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Education,	D 10
D.C	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-10
B-8	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Education,	D 44
D . 6	Service, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian Comparison Group	B-11
B-9	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Quality,	
	Service, and Gender	B-12

Appendix B (continued) - Active Component Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
B-10	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Quality,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-13
B-11	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Census Region,	
	Division, State, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-14
B-12	FY 2000 NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Census Region,	
	Division, State, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian	
	Comparison Group	B-17
B-13	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Age, Service,	
	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-20
B-14	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Age, Marital	
	Status, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-21
B-15	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity,	
	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-23
B-16	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Ethnicity	
	and Service	B-24
B-17	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT	
	Category, Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-25
B-18	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT Category,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-27
B-19	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Education,	
	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-29
B-20	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Education,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian Comparison Group	B-30
B-21	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Quality,	
	Service, and Gender	B-31
B-22	FY 2000 PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Quality,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-32
B-23	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Age Group, Service,	
	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-33
B-24	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Age, Marital Status,	
	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-34
B-25	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Race/Ethnicity,	
	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-36
B-26	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Ethnicity	
	and Service	B-37
B-27	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Education,	
	Service, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	B-38
B-28	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Education,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity with Civilian Comparison Group	B-39

Appendix B (continued) - Active Component Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
B-29	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Occupational Area,	
	Service, and Gender	B-40
B-30	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Occupational Area,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-42
B-31	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
	by Age and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-44
B-32	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
	by Gender and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-45
B-33	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps by	
	Marital Status, Gender, and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-46
B-34	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
	by Race/Ethnicity and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-47
B-35	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
	by Education and Service	B-48
B-36	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Accessions by Occupational Area	
	and Service	B-49
B-37	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Corps by Occupational Area and	
	Service	B-50
B-38	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Corps by Occupational Area,	
	Service, and Gender	B-51
B-39	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Corps by Occupational Area,	
	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-53
B-40	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Accessions by Source of	
	Commission, Service, and Gender	B-55
B-41	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Corps by Source of Commission,	
2 .1	Service, and Gender	B-57
B-42	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Accessions by Source of Commission,	2 0 7
2 .2	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	B-59
B-43	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Corps by Source of Commission,	D 37
D 13	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	R-61
B-44	FY 2000 Active Component Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant Officer	D 01
דד ע	Corps by Gender and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	B-63
B-45	FY 2000 Active Component Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant Officer	D -03
D- 4 3	Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Service with Civilian Comparison Groups	R 64
D 16		D-04
B-46	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Pay Grade, Service, and Gender	D 65
B-47	FY 2000 Active Component Enlisted Members by Pay Grade, Service,	D -03
D-4/		D 47
	and Race/Ethnicity	p- 0∤

Appendix B (continued) - Active Component Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
B-48	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Corps by Pay Grade, Service, and Gender	B-69
B-49	FY 2000 Active Component Officer Corps by Pay Grade, Service, and Race/Ethnicity	
	Appendix C - Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables	
C-1	FY 2000 NPS Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Age Group, Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	C-1
C-2	FY 2000 NPS Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Age, Marital Status, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-3	FY 2000 NPS Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity, Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-4	FY 2000 NPS Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Ethnicity and	
C-5	Component	
C-6	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-7	Component, and Race/Ethnicity	
C-8	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-9	Component, and Race/Ethnicity	
C-10	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-11	Marital Status, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-12	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-13	Ethnicity and Component FY 2000 Prior Service Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions by	
C-14	Education, Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	
C-15	Education, Component, and Race/Ethnicity	
	Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	C-21

Appendix C (continued) - Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
C-16	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Age, Marital Status,	
	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	C-23
C-17	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Race/Ethnicity,	
	Component, and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	. C-25
C-18	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Ethnicity and	
	Component	. C-26
C-19	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Education, Component,	
	and Gender with Civilian Comparison Group	C-27
C-20	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Education, Component,	
	and Race/Ethnicity	. C-28
C-21	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Occupational Area,	
	Component, and Gender	. C-29
C-22	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Occupational Area,	
	Component, and Race/Ethnicity	C-31
C-23	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions by Age Group and Component	
	with Civilian Comparison Group	. C-33
C-24	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officers by Age Group and Component with Civilian	
	Comparison Group	. C-34
C-25	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officers by Gender	
0 20	and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	. C-35
C-26	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officers by Gender,	0 00
0 20	Marital Status, and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	C-36
C-27	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officers by	
C 2,	Race/Ethnicity and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	C-37
C-28	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions and Officers by Education	0 5 7
C 20	and Component	C-38
C-29	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions by Occupational Area and	0 50
C 2)	Component	C-39
C-30	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officers by Occupational Area and	
C 50	Component	C-40
C-31	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officers by Occupational Area, Component,	0 10
C 31	and Gender	C-41
C-32	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officers by Occupational Area, Component,	С 11
C 32	and Race/Ethnicity	C-43
C-33	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officer Accessions by Source of Commission	C- 1 3
C-33	and Component	C-45
C-34	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Officers by Source of Commission	C -4 3
C-34		C-46
	and Component	C-40

Appendix C (continued) - Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
C-35	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant Officers	
	by Gender and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	C-47
C-36	FY 2000 Selected Reserve Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant Officers	
	by Race/Ethnicity and Component with Civilian Comparison Groups	C-48
	Appendix D - Longitudinal Data Tables	
D-1	Number of 18-Year-Old Youth by Gender and Military NPS Accession	
	Requirements, 1950-2010	D-1
D-2	Ratio of NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions to Applicants,	
	FYs 1976-2000	D-2
D-3	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service, FYs 1973-2000	D-3
D-4	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-4
D-5	White NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-6
D-6	Black NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-7
D-7	Hispanic NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-8
D-8	Other Race NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service	
	with Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-9
D-9	Female NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1964, 1970-2000	D-10
D-10	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Marital Status and	
	Service with Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1976-2000	D-11
D-11	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions with High School	
	Diplomas by Service with Civilian Comparison Group,	
	FYs 1973-2000	D-12
D-12	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by AFQT Category,	
	FYs 1973-2000	D-13
D-13	High Quality NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions	
	by Service, FYs 1973-2000	D-14
D-14	NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by Geographical	
•	Region, FYs 1973-2000.	D-15
D-15	Active Component Enlisted Strength, FYs 1964, 1973-2000	
D-16	Mean Age and Months of Service of Active Component Enlisted	20
	Members. FYs 1973-2000	D-17

Appendix D (continued) - Longitudinal Data Tables

Table		Page
D-17	Black Active Component Enlisted Members by Service with Civilian	
	Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-18
D-18	Hispanic Active Component Enlisted Members by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-19
D-19	Female Active Component Enlisted Members by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1964, 1970-2000	D-20
D-20	Active Component Enlisted Members by Marital Status and Service	
	with Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	
D-21	Active Component Officer Accessions, FYs 1973-2000	D-22
D-22	Black Active Component Officer Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-23
D-23	Hispanic Active Component Officer Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-24
D-24	Female Active Component Officer Accessions by Service with	
	Civilian Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	
D-25	Active Component Officer Strength, FYs 1973-2000	D-26
D-26	Mean Age and Months of Service of Active Component Officers,	
	FYs 1973-2000	D-27
D-27	Black Active Component Officers by Service with Civilian	
	Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-28
D-28	Hispanic Active Component Officers by Service with Civilian	- •
	Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	D-29
D-29	Female Active Component Officers by Service with Civilian	- ••
D 20	Comparison Group, FYs 1973-2000	
D-30	Reserve Component Enlisted Strength, FYs 1974-2000	
D-31	Reserve Component Officer Strength, FYs 1974-2000	D -32
Appe	endix E – Coast Guard Active Component Applicant, and Active Component	
and S	Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and	
	Officer Corps Tables	
E-1	FY 2000 Coast Guard Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by	
	Age and Gender	E-1
E-2	FY 2000 Coast Guard Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by	
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-2
E-3	FY 2000 Coast Guard Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by	
	AFQT Category, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-3
E-4	FY 2000 Coast Guard Applicants for Active Component Enlistment by	
	Education, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-4

Appendix E (continued) - Coast Guard Active Component Applicant, and Active Component and Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
E-5	FY 2000 Coast Guard NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	F. 7
E-6	Age and Gender	E-5
E-0	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	F 6
E-7	FY 2000 Coast Guard NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	L-0
L-7	AFQT Category, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	F-7
E-8	FY 2000 Coast Guard NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	L-/
LO	Education, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	F-8
E-9	FY 2000 Coast Guard NPS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	D 0
	Quality, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-9
E-10	FY 2000 Coast Guard PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
_ 10	Age and Gender	E-10
E-11	FY 2000 Coast Guard PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	-
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-11
E-12	FY 2000 Coast Guard PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Quality, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-12
E-13	FY 2000 Coast Guard PS Active Component Enlisted Accessions by	
	Education, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-13
E-14	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Enlisted Members by	
	Age Group and Gender	E-14
E-15	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Enlisted Members by	
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-15
E-16	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Enlisted Members by	
	Education, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-16
E-17	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Enlisted Members by	
	Occupational Area, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-17
E-18	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Officer Accessions and	
	Officer Corps by Age	E-18
E-19	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Officer Accessions and	T 10
F 20	Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-19
E-20	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Officer Accessions and	F 20
Г 01	Officer Corps by Education	E-20
E-21	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Officer Corps by	E 21
E 22	Occupational Area, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity	E-21
E-22	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Officer Accessions and	Б 22
E 22	Officer Corps by Source of Commission, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity	E-22
E-23	FY 2000 Coast Guard Active Component Warrant Officer Accessions and	E 22
	Warrant Officer Corps by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-23

Appendix E (continued) - Coast Guard Active Component Applicant, and Active Component and Selected Reserve Enlisted Accessions, Enlisted Force, Officer Accessions, and Officer Corps Tables

Table		Page
E-24	FY 2000 NPS Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Age	
	Group and Gender	E-24
E-25	FY 2000 NPS Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Race/Ethnicity	
	and Gender	E-25
E-26	FY 2000 NPS Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by AFQT Category,	
	Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-26
E-27	FY 2000 NPS Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Education,	
	Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-27
E-28	FY 2000 Prior Service Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by Age	
	Group and Gender	E-28
E-29	FY 2000 Prior Service Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by	
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-29
E-30	FY 2000 Prior Service Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Accessions by	
	Education, Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-30
E-31	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Members by Age Group	
	and Gender	E-31
E-32	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Members by Race/Ethnicity	
	and Gender	E-32
E-33	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Members by Education, Gender	
	and Race/Ethnicity	E-33
E-34	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Enlisted Members by Occupational Area,	
	Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-34
E-35	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps by	
	c	E-35
E-36	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps by	
	Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-36
E-37	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
	by Education	E-37
E-38	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	
	by Occupational Area	E-38
E-39	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Officer Corps by Occupational Area,	
	Gender and Race/Ethnicity	E-39
E-40	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Officer Accessions and Officer Corps	-
T	by Source of Commission	E-40
E-41	FY 2000 Coast Guard Reserve Warrant Officer Accessions and Warrant	-
	Officers by Race/Ethnicity and Gender	E-41

Appendix F - Deployment Data Tables

Table		Page
F-1	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Enlisted Members by Location,	
	Race/Ethnicity, Service, and Gender	F-1
F-2	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Enlisted Members by Occupational	
F 4	Area, Service, and Gender	F-3
F-3	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Enlisted Members by Occupational	5.5
Б. 4	Area, Service, and Race/Ethnicity	F-5
F-4	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Enlisted Members by Pay Grade,	Г.7
Гб	Service, and Gender	F-/
F-5	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Enlisted Members by Pay Grade,	EO
Б.6	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	Г-9
F-6	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Officers by Location, Race/Ethnicity, Service, and Gender	E 11
F-7	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Officers by Occupational Area,	Г-11
Γ-/	Service, and Gender	E 13
F-8	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Officers by Occupational Area,	1-13
1-0	Service, and Race/Ethnicity	F_15
F-9	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Officers by Pay Grade, Service,	1-13
1 /	and Gender	F-17
F-10	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Officers by Pay Grade, Service,	17
1 10	and Race/Ethnicity	F-19
F-11	FY 2000 Deployed Active Component Warrant Officers by Location,	
	Race/Ethnicity, Service, and Gender	F-21
F-12	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Race/Ethnicity,	
	Component, and Gender	F-23
F-13	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Occupational	
	Area, Component, and Gender	F-24
F-14	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Occupational	
	Area, Component, and Race/Ethnicity	F-26
F-15	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Pay Grade,	
	Component, and Gender	F-28
F-16	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Enlisted Members by Pay Grade,	
	Component, and Race/Ethnicity	F-30
F-17	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Officers by Race/Ethnicity,	
	Component, and Gender	F-32
F-18	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Officers by Occupational Area,	
	Component, and Gender	F-33
F-19	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Officers by Occupational Area,	
	Component, and Race/Ethnicity	F-35

Appendix F - (continued): Deployment Data Tables

Table		Page
F-20	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Officers by Pay Grade, Component,	
	and Gender	F-37
F-21	FY 2000 Deployed Selected Reserve Officers by Pay Grade, Component,	
	and Race/Ethnicity	F-39